UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,972	08/01/2006	Eitan Zait	P-8503-US	7182	
	7590 01/07/200 dek Latzer, LLP	EXAMINER			
1500 Broadway	-	FRASER, STEWART A			
12th Floor New York, NY	10036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		A	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		1	10/564,972 ZAIT		ZAIT ET AL.	IT ET AL.		
		E	Examiner		Art Unit			
		s	STEWART A	I. FRASER	1795			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	rs on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IN TH	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1,136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS a). In no event, apply and will exuse the applica	COMMUNICATIO however, may a reply be ti xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tion to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 Janu</i>	Jary 2006					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)		<i>′</i> —			osecution as to th	e merits is		
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		_					
		application						
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	lection rea	uirement.				
			100110111104	un omoni.				
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the		_	_				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2</u>					ner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18/2006, 2/21/2006, 4/14</u>) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D) Notice of Informal) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/564,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the initial office action for US Patent Application No. 10/564972 titled, "Method

for Correcting Critical Dimension Variations in Photomasks".

2. Claims 1-11 are currently pending and have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by ZIGER (US

2003/0157415).

The ZIGER reference recites a method for compensating critical dimension deviations across a photomask. With respect to claim 1, ZIGER teaches (Claim 11) a method of compensating for deviations in critical dimensions of photoresist patterns in a photomask, comprising steps of generating a deviation map indicating deviation of the critical dimension from a target dimension for each of the regions in the photomask, determining an amount of actinic radiation needed to be attenuated to compensate for the critical dimension deviation from the target dimension in each of the regions of the photomask, and attenuating transmission of the

actinic radiation through each of the regions in the photomask by the determined attenuation amount of actinic radiation such that the critical dimension deviation is compensated to the target dimension for each of the regions in the photomask. ZIGER further teaches (Claim 13) that the actinic radiation is attenuated by either implanting a dopant species in one or more regions of the photomask substrate, wherein the dopant species is adapted to decrease transmission of the actinic radiation through the one or more regions or (Claim 14) depositing a layer of semitransparent material in one or more regions of the photomask substrate to attenuate transmission of the actinic radiation through the one or more regions in the photomask.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ZIGER (US 2003/0157415) in view of ZAIT et al. (US 2002/0086245).

The ZIGER reference recites a method for compensating critical dimension deviations across a photomask. The ZIGER reference does not appear to explicitly teach the limitations of claims 2-11 directed to the use of a pulsed laser to form elements that attenuate light. However, the ZAIT reference recites a method of manufacturing patterns on a reticle, the method comprising providing at least one of a plurality of ultra-short pulsed laser beams.

In view of claims 2-6, ZAIT teaches [0065] a method of employing a pulsed laser wherein reverse writing is performed on a reticle blank. A reticle pattern is obtained by direct writing using pulsed laser irradiation directed at the surface opposite the coated surface of the reticle through the substrate of the reticle blank and onto the chrome coating. ZAIT discloses [0089] that while the concept of producing phase shift elements to reduce or eliminate higher order diffraction patterns is not new, it is the introduction of reverse writing in reticle blanks and using parallel writing techniques as well as direct writing of internal phase shift elements inside the substrate volume that render this particular embodiment of the present invention novel and unique. In view of claims 7-11, ZAIT teaches [0104] that with femtosecond laser technology, it is easy to modify the phase values of the inscribed elements by controlling parameters, such as laser pulse energy, speed and number of pixels, with operating software.

At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to modify the teachings of ZIGER by applying a known technique of direct writing, as taught by ZAIT, in order to compensate for critical deviations across a photomask. ZAIT discloses [0092] that employing an ultra-short pulsed laser process provides advantages of better process control,

Application/Control Number: 10/564,972 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

reduced number of steps, which endangers reliability, as well as reduced sensitivity to optical

aberrations. By modifying the teachings of ZIGER with the teachings of ZAIT, one of ordinary

skill in the art would have been able to devise a method for compensating critical dimension

deviations across a photomask with pulsed laser technology. Therefore, the claims specified in

the instant application would have been obvious at the time the invention was made.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to STEWART A. FRASER whose telephone number is (571)270-

5126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 6:30 am to 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Stewart A Fraser/

Examiner, Art Unit 1795